

## MINUTES

### REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL TUESDAY, MAY 27, 2008 COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 215 EAST BRANCH STREET ARROYO GRANDE, CALIFORNIA

#### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Ferrara called the Regular City Council meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

#### **2. ROLL CALL**

City Council: Council Members Joe Costello, Jim Guthrie, Ed Arnold, Mayor Pro Tem Chuck Fellows, and Mayor Tony Ferrara were present.

City Staff Present: City Manager Steven Adams, City Attorney Tim Carmel, Director of Administrative Services/City Clerk Kelly Wetmore, Director of Financial Services Angela Kraetsch, Associate Planner Teresa McClish, Director of Building and Fire Mike Hubert, Director of Public Works Don Spagnolo, Chief of Police Steve Annibali, and Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities Doug Perrin.

#### **3. FLAG SALUTE**

A representative of Central Coast Masonic Lodge led the Flag Salute.

#### **4. INVOCATION**

Dr. Mayer-Harnish, Bahai Faith, delivered the invocation.

#### **5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS**

None.

#### **6. AGENDA REVIEW**

##### **6.a. Ordinances Read in Title Only.**

Council Member Costello moved, Council Member Arnold seconded, and the motion passed unanimously that all ordinances moved for introduction or adoption at the meeting shall be read in title only and all further reading be waived.

#### **7. CITIZENS' INPUT, COMMENTS, AND SUGGESTIONS**

Joel Couser, Asilo, referred to the James Way resurfacing project and thanked the Council and staff for moving forward with the project.

#### **8. CONSENT AGENDA**

Mayor Ferrara invited members of the public who wished to comment on any Consent Agenda Item to do so at this time. No public comments were received.

**Action:** Council Member Guthrie moved, and Council Member Arnold seconded the motion to approve Consent Agenda Items 8.a. through 8.l., with the recommended courses of action. City Attorney Carmel read the complete Titles of the Ordinances in Items 8.i. and 8.k. The motion passed on the following roll-call vote:

**AYES:** Guthrie, Arnold, Costello, Fellows, Ferrara

**NOES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

**8.a. Cash Disbursement Ratification.**

**Action:** Ratified the listing of cash disbursements for the period May 1, 2008 through May 15, 2008.

**8.b. Consideration of Statement of Investment Deposits.**

**Action:** Received and filed the report of current investment deposits as of April 30, 2008.

**8.c. Consideration of Resolutions to Implement Reporting of Employer Paid Member Contributions to the California Public Employees' Retirement System for all Employee Groups.**

**Action:** 1) Adopted Resolution No. 4082 as follows: **"A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE IMPLEMENTING THE REPORTING OF THE EMPLOYER PAID MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (POLICE)";** 2) Adopted Resolution No. 4083 as follows: **"A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE IMPLEMENTING THE REPORTING OF THE EMPLOYER PAID MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (MISCELLANEOUS)";** and 3) Adopted Resolution No. 4084 as follows: **"A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE IMPLEMENTING THE REPORTING OF THE EMPLOYER PAID MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (FIRE)".**

**8.d. Consideration of Approval of Minutes.**

**Action:** Approved the minutes of the Special City Council Meeting of April 22, 2008, the Regular City Council Meeting of April 22, 2008, and the Special and Regular City Council Meetings of May 13, 2008, as submitted.

**8.e. Consideration of a Resolution Reducing Faithful Performance and Labor and Material Securities for Tract 2310-II, Parkside, Constructed by S&S Homes, Located at Farroll Avenue and Bakeman Lane.**

**Action:** Adopted Resolution No. 4085 as follows: **"A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE REDUCING THE FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE AND LABOR AND MATERIALS SECURITIES FOR TRACT 2310-II, PARKSIDE, APPLIED FOR BY S&S HOMES OF THE CENTRAL COAST".**

**8.f. Consideration of Amendment No. 1 to the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans for Development of the Brisco Road-Halcyon Road/Route 101 Interchange Project through Project Approval and Environmental Determination.**

**Action:** 1) Adopted Resolution No. 4086 as follows: **"A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT #05-CA-0140 FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE BRISCO ROAD-HALCYON ROAD/ROUTE 101 INTERCHANGE PROJECT THROUGH PROJECT APPROVAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION (PA&ED)";** and 2) Authorized the Mayor to execute the Amendment.

**8.g. Consideration of a Resolution Accepting Easements and Improvements for Tract 2539, Constructed by Rick Wheeler, Located at 185 Brisco Road.**

**Action:** Adopted Resolution No. 4087 as follows: **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE ACCEPTING EASEMENTS AND IMPROVEMENTS FOR TRACT 2539, LOCATED AT 185 BRISCO ROAD, CONSTRUCTED BY RICK WHEELER".**

- 8.h. **Consideration to Approve Final Parcel Map AG 06-0204; Subdividing 2.7 Acres into Two (2) Parcels, Located at 1400 W. Branch Street.**  
**Action:** Approved Final Parcel Map AG 06-0204; subdividing 2.7 acres into two (2) parcels, located at 1400 W. Branch Street.
- 8.i. **Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance Adding Chapter 9.26 to Title 9 of the Arroyo Grande Municipal Code Prohibiting the Establishment of Medical Marijuana Dispensaries.**  
**Action:** Adopted Ordinance No. 599 as follows: ***“AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE ADDING CHAPTER 9.26 TO TITLE 9 OF THE ARROYO GRANDE MUNICIPAL CODE PROHIBITING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES”.***
- 8.j. **Consideration of Agreement of Lease Renewal for Portion of Car Corral Public Parking Lot Located between 103 and 109 E. Branch Street for an Additional Five-Year Term from August 1, 2008 to July 31, 2013.**  
**Action:** Approved the Agreement of Lease dated April 25, 2008 with The David Family Trust, Nancy T. Loomis Revocable Living Trust, and the Mathews Children’s 1997 Irrevocable Trust, and authorized the Mayor to execute the Agreement on behalf of the City.
- 8.k. **Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance to Amend Parking Regulations in the Vicinity of the Village, Allow Clustering of Lots in Lower Density Residential Neighborhoods, and to Provide Additional “Clean-Up” Amendments for Consistency with State Law Requirements (Development Code Amendment 08-001).**  
**Action:** Adopted Ordinance No. 600 as follows: ***“AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE AMENDING PORTIONS OF TITLE 16 OF THE ARROYO GRANDE MUNICIPAL CODE (DEVELOPMENT CODE AMENDMENT 08-001) AMENDING CHAPTER 16.56 (PARKING AND LOADING REQUIREMENTS) INCLUDING PARKING STANDARDS FOR BARBER SHOPS AND BEAUTY SALONS WITHIN THE VILLAGE CORE AND VILLAGE MIXED USE (VCD & VMU) ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING CHAPTER 16.32 (RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS) REGARDING THE CLUSTERING OF LOTS IN LOWER DENSITY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS; AND AMENDING CHAPTERS 16.04 (DEFINITIONS) AND 16.20 (SUBDIVISIONS) FOR CONSISTENCY WITH STATE LAW”.***
- 8.l. **Consideration of First Amendment to Agreement for Contractor Services for Custodial Services.**  
**Action:** Approved the First Amendment to the Agreement for Contractor Services with Commercial Maintenance Services in the amount of \$4,250 per four weeks and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement.

## **9. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- 9.a. **Consideration of Amended and Restated Solid Waste Collection, Recycling and Greenwaste Franchise Agreement.**

City Manager Adams recommended the City Council continue the item to the Regular City Council Meeting of June 10, 2008 at the request of the franchisee, South County Sanitary Service, Inc.

Mayor Ferrara opened the public hearing, and upon hearing no comments, he closed the public hearing.

**Action:** Council Member Guthrie moved to continue the item to the Regular City Council Meeting of June 10, 2008. Council Member Arnold seconded, and the motion passed on the following roll call vote:

**AYES:** Guthrie, Arnold, Costello, Fellows, Ferrara  
**NOES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

**9.b. Consideration of a Vesting Tentative Tract Map and Planned Unit Development Case No. 01-001 to Subdivide a 26.9-Acre Property into Fifteen (15) Residential Lots and a Twenty-Two (22) Acre Permanently Preserved Open Space Parcel.**

Associate Planner McClish presented the staff report which included a map of the project site; a project description; a map depicting riparian/wetland and Pismo clarkia habitats with 50 ft. mitigation buffers; a review of issues concerning the site, including Oak removal, riparian and wetland protection; fire safety and emergency access; slopes; and long-term site monitoring; referred to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to the previously certified Revised Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (RFSEIR); displayed a constraints map and drawings proposing a graded “flat pad” scenario and a graded “sloped pad” scenario; and provided additional information regarding the presence of Pismo clarkia in areas in the buffer, explaining that these areas are already on record in the February 2004 RFSEIR which suggests original mitigation measures for Pismo clarkia may still be applicable, and explained that staff recommended revising the findings accordingly.

John Rickenbach, Rincon Consultants, presented an environmental review history for the project, including subsequent revisions and First Addendum that have been issued. He explained that the 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum addresses environmental impacts of the current proposed project and compares to the previous project. He also provided additional information concerning potential biological impacts, including the presence of Pismo clarkia in areas near the proposed project. He agreed that some findings may need to be revised accordingly to incorporate applicable mitigation.

Associate Planner McClish recommended that the Council review and consider the 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to the certified RFSEIR, take public comment, discuss and provide staff direction or take tentative action to approve VTTM/PUD 01-001, as conditioned and including the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, and continue the item to a date certain (July 22, 2008) to allow staff to revise CEQA findings regarding Mitigation Measure B-4 for Pismo clarkia.

Staff and Mr. Rickenbach responded to questions from Council concerning the filling of the “gully” as it relates to drainage and grading; what formed the gully; where flowing water would go once the gully was filled in; whether or not the gully is a wetland; the location of the Pismo clarkia; whether an easement agreement had been reached with Lucia Mar Unified School District concerning the proposed emergency access road; maximum building height standards; slope pads versus flat pads; responsibility for maintenance of the basin and other on-site drainage facilities; concern relating to the steepness of the bank as it relates to the emergency access route; soil and compaction issues; the feasibility of alternative access routes; what bonding is required for subdivision improvements; clarification of mitigation monitoring activities during construction activities and how the City would approach monitoring from a staffing standpoint.

Mayor Ferrara opened the public hearing.

Dennis Law, representing the applicant, Castlerock Development, provided an overview and historical review of the project, most recently the 17-unit project pre-application. He noted that concerns and issues identified by the Council previously are addressed in the revised project, which is now proposed to be 15-units. He referred to correspondence submitted by the applicant, Castlerock Development, which provides comments on the Revised Final Subsequent EIR, 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum (Attachment 7c, Letter 6); commented on the long review history for the proposed project site; addressed concerns regarding Pismo clarkia as it relates to the environmental review documents; noted that the main advantage of the revised project is that it opens up the wildlife corridor; stated the riparian area is subject to a restoration plan; the proposed project contains clustered lots with custom homes; stated the City has the power to enforce the conditions; responded to fire access issues; noted that a project enhancement includes dedication of an on-site well; and responded to questions from Council regarding the gully, and bonding for subdivision improvements.

David Foote, FIRMA, presented an overview of the Creek Enhancement Plan and responded to questions from Council.

Jason Tyra, representing the applicant, presented a computerized visual model that depicted various views of the project from surrounding streets and housing developments.

Mark Davis, Davis Engineering, reviewed the drainage plan and improvements for the project and responded to questions from Council regarding the proposed drainage system.

Mayor Ferrara called for a break at 9:15 p.m. The Council reconvened at 9:26 p.m.

Bob Brownson, Asilo, read from a letter (on file in the Administrative Services Department) requesting a delay in approving the proposed development until there is a jurisdictional determination by an appropriate agency on whether or not the proposed development is located in a riparian area leading to a wetland that feeds Meadow Creek.

Joel Couser, Asilo, expressed concern about a Homeowners Association having to maintain 22 acres of open space; expressed concern about lot size and clustering; and noted that view impacts from other streets in the vicinity were not shown.

Kathy Glen, Easy Street, inquired where the well is located and how much water would be provided. She expressed concern about impacts to water resources for residents on Easy Street; expressed concern about how the gully is filled; and inquired what type of trees would be planted and how high they would be.

Doug Tait, Asilo, noted he was speaking as a private citizen. He referred to the gully which appears to be a riparian habitat serving as a riparian drainage connected to the wetlands and Meadow Creek and expressed opposition to filling it in. He referred to the transplant tree replanting zone and expressed concern that the soil is not suitable for oaks and that replanted oaks may eventually block the views of the Easy Street property owners. He further referred to the Pismo clarkia and noted that if avoidance of Pismo clarkia is not possible, there would be a Class I impact. He concluded by stating he was not opposed to all development on the site; however, he has concerns that the proposed development does not reduce the environmental impacts far enough. He submitted his written comments and a handout from the University of California Agriculture & Natural Resources concerning the transplantation of Oak trees.

Mary Ann Otter, Asilo, referred to contradictory information concerning the gully and referred to dialog at the Planning Commission meeting between Commissioner Barneich and David Wolf, the applicant's biologist, concerning impacts of erosion from the gully into the Creek.

Pat Smith, Asilo, expressed concern about mitigation compliance responsibilities; steep slope limitations; and accuracy of the computer visual model as it relates to view impacts. He urged the

Council not to approve the project until all issues are addressed.

Anna Unkovich referred to the Oak Woodlands Conservation Act and asked for full protection of oak trees. She also expressed concern about where equipment will be placed without causing impacts during construction.

Richard Nutting, La Canada, read a letter on behalf of Shirley Mackie which was signed by ten residents on Puesta Del Sol, objecting to the stack building of multi-story houses on a hillside, removal of oaks, and impacts to sensitive habitat. He further stated that requiring a Homeowners Association to enforce mitigation measures is not appropriate, and that there has been no commitment from Lucia Mar Unified School District concerning an easement for the access road.

Steve Colan, Vista Drive, stated the artist rendering is misleading and expressed concerns about building heights and that the proposed development would block the natural progression of wildlife.

Don Dirkse, Asilo, agreed with all the public comments which expressed concerns about the proposed project.

Blanche Hollingshead, Easy Street, expressed concern about impacts to existing wells, and the type and height of replacement trees as it relates to potential view impacts.

Karen Tait, Asilo, acknowledged the revised project has been designed farther away from Meadow Creek, the wetlands, the Pismo clarkia, and the oak woodlands and that the applicant will bring in a biologist to repair the riparian habitat of Meadow Creek. However, she agreed with Neil Havlik of the Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District, who stated that more can be done by the developer to reduce the impacts to this environmentally sensitive site. She noted that only one of the three Planning Commissioners visited the site and that one Commissioner voted no on the proposal. She expressed concern that a lot of new information has been presented and that the public had little time to review it due to the holiday weekend. She encouraged the Council to take more time to visit the site and to thoroughly consider all the new information. She expressed further concerns about the grading and terracing of the lots, and about whether the computer simulations were intended to accurately depict views at some future time.

Upon hearing no further public comments, Mayor Ferrara closed the public hearing.

Discussion ensued concerning the definition, nature, and composition of the gully and determining whether it is a riparian/wetland area.

Council Member Costello provided the following comments:

- Would like to see more information concerning the gully before making a final decision on the project;
- Noted that the Council had previously directed the applicant to reduce lot sizes to avoid Class I impacts by avoiding the riparian area, the oak habitat, and the Creek;
- Would like more information and clarification on the Pismo clarkia issue as it relates to recent blooms;
- Acknowledged that 84% open space is provided within the development;
- Would like more clarification on requiring a Homeowners Association to enforce the mitigation measures, and what the City's responsibilities will be;
- Would like more clarity on what the lot preparations might be; would like to avoid having fifteen different applicants come forward for approval of each lot; would like to see consistency;
- Stated the project maintains the wildlife corridor; however, expressed some concern about the potential redirection of wildlife movement;
- Would like more resolution on the emergency road, with an agreement in place to connect the road through to the adjoining property, or identification of an alternate site;

- Getting close with the project; noted that there will be some form of development on this site;
- Agreed more time is needed to seek clarification on a couple of the impact issues that have been discussed;
- Supported continuing to a date certain.

Council Member Guthrie provided the following comments:

- Inquired about potential impacts of the well proposed for dedication on other wells in the area. Staff responded that the existing well has been in use on and off for the past several years and that any impacts for municipal use of the well will be evaluated at the time the City proposes to use the well.
- Asked for clarification about the emergency access road and the applicant's requirement to obtain an easement to provide secondary access;
- Noted that environmental concerns were known on this property with the original Rancho Grande approval, and that information regarding environmental constraints has evolved over time;
- Stated that the current design is the best possible avoidance of the Pismo clarkia;
- Stated that for the main part of the wetlands, the span bridge and enhancements address the best avoidance of impacts;
- Visited the site and looked at the gully; is inclined to believe it is not a wetland area; would like some clarification on that issue;
- Believed that at some point, there needs to be a tradeoff to protect the wetland area;
- Agreed that the wildlife corridor is narrower; however, he did not believe wildlife would be redirected outside of the corridor;
- Acknowledged that many Oaks are being saved; and the loss of 11 Oak trees is offset by the 4:1 replacement mitigation;
- Noted that a Homeowners Association provides more than CC&Rs; level of monitoring by the City is increasing, but that is happening in other areas; would like to see formation of some other entity that is capable of providing the mitigation monitoring;
- Expressed concerns about the steepness of the access route and being left without a secondary access; wants better clarification on the buildability of the secondary access road;
- Reiterated that his inclination is that the gully is not a significant wetland area; and unless it is found to be different, filling it in is a tradeoff to protect the Pismo clarkia and the best wetland areas.

Council Member Arnold provided the following comments:

- Inquired whether the project would not be able to proceed if a determination was made by another agency that the gully cannot be filled in. City Attorney Carmel responded that it is likely the appropriate agencies would devise mitigation significant enough to allow the project to proceed, although it is possible the project may not be able to proceed as proposed;
- In response to a question regarding finished working documents, staff explained that there is a substantial amount of information and review required for this project that leads to the project design, conditions, and mitigation measures and that the proposal is a Tentative Map and PUD at this time;
- Noted he was on the Planning Commission when the RFSEIR was certified; and acknowledged the long history of the project;

- Noted that the original proposed projects were not appropriate for the environmentally constrained site; referred to the previous pre-application for 17-units and concerns he had with two of the units, which have now been eliminated;
- Stated that a lot of constraints have been identified on this property; however, there were conditional entitlements that were given to this property a long time ago and the City and applicant must work within those constraints;
- Noted that the applicant was steered by previous Council comments toward this specific area of the site and that it is the appropriate project for this property;
- Stated the riparian enhancement plan for the creek is a benefit to the creek;
- Has mixed feeling on whether the eucalyptus trees should be removed from the creek;
- Did not believe animal migration is an issue;
- Expressed some concern with the emergency access road and securing an easement agreement with Lucia Mar; wants to avoid condemnation; believed that the James property may be a more logical connection;
- Stated the well is an enhancement to the City as an additional water source;
- Suggested pre-defined building pads so each lot can have predetermined height limits;
- Unsure about Homeowners Association taking on mitigation monitoring; may require a Maintenance Assessment District;
- Noted there are over 1,200 Oak trees on site and that 1.8% of the trees on the site will be removed or transplanted, and the best trees have been preserved;
- Not as concerned about seeing actual drainage plans, etc.; must set standards and generate working documents to meet those standards;
- Can approve the project with conditions; however, supported a continuance to address environmental concerns identified regarding Pismo clarkia.

Council Member Fellows provided the following comments:

- Supported a continuance to further study the materials;
- Stated major *positive* aspects of the project include:
  - Clustered lots, leaving open space for plants and wildlife;
  - Pedestrian path;
  - Riparian and Wetland Enhancement Plan resulting in removal of blue gum eucalyptus, planting of native plants, installation of erosion-control materials, weed control, and temporary irrigation;
  - Well will be dedicated to the City;
  - Project location lessens impacts to biological resources and avoids Pismo clarkia.
- Stated major *negative* aspects of the project include:
  - Filling in the gully, which he described as a biotic element (displayed images which shows plant life), that includes many plants, provides a wildlife trail, and now acts to cleanse water that flows toward Meadow Creek;
  - Filling the gully is not necessary to stop silt from entering Meadow Creek;
  - Filling in the gully would result in a Class I impact, and suggested alternate plan to avoid filling in the gully;
  - Filling in the gully would involve many truck trips, over sensitive environment, by massive trucks importing large amounts of fill dirt;

- Suggested compromise by eliminating the long road up the hill and all of the grading and fill, in exchange for lots closer to La Canada that would encroach somewhat into setbacks of other areas, in order to leave the gully in its natural state (displayed rough concept sketch);
  - Due to numerous environmental constraints, the mitigation measures are so complex that it will overwhelm the City's ability to monitor and follow up; however, he supported idea of the applicant paying all monitoring costs through Real Time Billing Agreements;
  - Believed compliance with some mitigation measures is improbable, including MM B-4(c) "Worker Education Program", and expressed further concern about monitoring and compliance.
  - Cannot make finding #10, that the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program provides the steps necessary to ensure that the mitigation measures are fully enforceable.
- Concluded by stating that filling the gully is a Class I impact and he cannot support it and he cannot make finding #10.

Mayor Ferrara provided the following comments:

- Supports continuing the item to a date certain; however, he was unsure there would be enough time to address the concerns that have been voiced;
- Acknowledged the project was moved on the site as a result of site constraints; however, after walking the property, he observed the riparian area and would like some clear information on the gully;
- Needs better level of specificity by looking to permitting/regulatory agencies for information and analysis now; need clarity on this issue before moving forward;
- Council has precedence for not approving housing projects that are built around a lengthy cul-de-sac with one way in and one way out based on safety and welfare issues;
- Expressed concern about long cul-de-sac street as it relates to emergency access, as it does not provide adequate circulation or access for emergency vehicles;
- Noted the dense landscaping and vegetation near the proposed road which could become unusable in the event of a wildfire; expressed concern with steepness of the emergency access road;
- Believed that the proposal for a Homeowners Association to monitor the many mitigation measures is onerous for such an entity and it is unreasonable to expect such monitoring by an HOA;
- Has no issue with Oak tree mitigation;
- Suggested lot configuration should be pre-determined/pre-defined to include developed building pads and not left to individual homeowners; pad grading and retaining walls must be constructed and mitigated one time, by the developer;
- Before considering approval of the project, must see more detail on the emergency access road and must be proven to be capable as an evacuation route; and must include developed building pads.
- Would also like to see further clarification from permitting and regulatory agencies concerning the gully;
- If issues are clarified, can move forward with consideration of the project; if not, cannot support.

**Action:** Council Member Arnold moved to continue the item to the Regular City Council Meeting of July 22, 2008. Council Member Guthrie seconded, and the motion passed on the following roll call vote:

**AYES:** Arnold, Guthrie, Costello, Fellows, Ferrara  
**NOES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

**10. CONTINUED BUSINESS ITEMS**

None.

**11. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS**

None.

**12. CITY COUNCIL REPORTS**

**a. MAYOR TONY FERRARA:**

- (1) **San Luis Obispo Council of Governments/San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority (SLOCOG/SLORTA).** No report. Next meeting is in June.
- (2) **South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District (SSLOCSD).** Council Member Guthrie attended the last meeting as the Alternate.
- (3) **Brisco/Halcyon/Hwy 101 Interchange Project Subcommittee.** Met with the engineering consultants and provided additional direction.
- (4) **Other.** None.

**b. MAYOR PRO TEM CHUCK FELLOWS:**

- (1) **South County Youth Coalition.** Announced Boys and Girls Club Summer Program.
- (2) **County Water Resources Advisory Committee (WRAC).** Reported that the Quagga Mussel remediation project is ongoing.
- (3) **Other.** None.

**c. COUNCIL MEMBER JOE COSTELLO:**

- (1) **Zone 3 Water Advisory Board.** Received latest update on Quagga Mussel issue.
- (2) **Air Pollution Control District (APCD).** Announced that the Mower Exchange Program is on Saturday; reported on APCD budget issues and proposed per capita fee to cities.
- (3) **Fire Oversight Committee.** No report.
- (4) **Fire Consolidation Oversight Committee.** No report.
- (5) **Other.** None.

**d. COUNCIL MEMBER JIM GUTHRIE:**

- (1) **South County Area Transit (SCAT).** No report.
- (2) **California Joint Powers Insurance Authority (CJPIA).** No report.
- (3) **Other.** None.

**e. COUNCIL MEMBER ED ARNOLD:**

- (1) **Integrated Waste Management Authority Board (IWMA).** No report (staff representative attended last meeting).
- (2) **Economic Vitality Corporation (EVC).** Received presentation on proposed solar facility.
- (3) **Other.** None.

**13. CITY COUNCIL MEMBER ITEMS:**

None.

**14. CITY MANAGER ITEMS:**

None.

**15. COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS:**

Council Member Guthrie announced the Ocean Oaks Senior Center Open House on June 5<sup>th</sup> from 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. at the Ocean Oaks condominiums on Camino Mercado.

Council Member Arnold acknowledged the success of the Strawberry Festival.

Mayor Ferrara announced the America In Bloom National Competition Judging in Arroyo Grande on June 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup>. He stated that the judges are scheduled to visit the City and encouraged residents and business owners to further beautify the City by planting flowers or hauling away unnecessary items stacked outside.

Mayor Pro Tem Fellows announced he would not be present at the next regular City Council meeting. He also suggested contacting Tracy Caretto from the Boys and Girls Club to give a presentation about its summer program.

City Manager Adams suggested appointment of a 2<sup>nd</sup> Alternate to attend the next SLOCOG/SLORTA meeting as the designated representative and primary Alternate would be unable to attend. Following brief discussion, Council Member Arnold offered to attend. City Manager Adams indicated he would add the item to the Special Meeting Agenda on May 29<sup>th</sup> so the Council could formally make the appointment.

**16. STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:**

None.

**17. COMMUNITY COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS:**

None.

**18. ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Ferrara adjourned the meeting at 11:40 p.m. to the Special City Council/Redevelopment Agency Meeting on Thursday, May 29<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 215 E. Branch Street.

**/s/ Tony Ferrara, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

**/s/ Kelly Wetmore, City Clerk**

**(Approved at CC Mtg 06/10/2008)**